

## DETERMINATION OF PEDESTRIAN FLOW PERFORMANCE BASED ON VIDEO TRACKING AND MICROSCOPIC SIMULATIONS \*

By Kardi TEKNOMO<sup>\*</sup>, Yasushi TAKEYAMA<sup>\*\*</sup>, Hajime INAMURA<sup>\*\*\*</sup>

### 1. Introduction

One of the objectives of understanding pedestrian behavior is to predict the effect of proposed changes in the design or evaluation of pedestrian facilities. We want to know the impact to the user of the facilities (i.e. pedestrian), as the design of the facilities change. That impact was traditionally evaluated by level of service standards (LOS). Another design criterion to measure the impact of design change is measured by the pedestrian flow performance index (PI). This paper describes the determination of pedestrian flow performance based video tracking or any microscopic pedestrian simulation models.

Most of pedestrian researches (for best classical examples see <sup>2),3)</sup> have been done on a macroscopic level, which is an aggregation of all pedestrian movement in pedestrian areas into flow, average speed and area module. Macroscopic level, however, does not consider the interaction between pedestrians. It is also not well suited for prediction of pedestrian flow performance in pedestrian areas or in buildings with some obstruction that reduces the effective width of the walkways. On the other hand, the microscopic level has a more general usage and considers detail in the design.

Case studies by microscopic simulation have been reported <sup>3),4)</sup> that the flow performance of pedestrian in the intersection of pedestrian malls and doors could be improved by putting some control such as roundabout or direction rule. More efficient pedestrian flow can even be reached with less space. Those results have rejected the linearity assumption of space and flow in the macroscopic level.

Since there are many types of Microscopic Pedestrian Simulation Models (MPSM)<sup>1),3),4)</sup>, there is a need for a unifying language so that all MPSM techniques can be used interchangeably without any confusion. The NTTY database, as explained in the next section, could take the role of language unification. Based on the NTTY database, flow performance formulation can be determined.

### 2. NTTY Database

Recently, the algorithm to automate the tracking of pedestrian from video files has been developed by the authors <sup>7)</sup>. Images of pedestrians are taken by video camera in the field, on top of pedestrian facilities. The images are then converted into files in the laboratory. Normally, one second on a video film consist of many frame pictures. Depending on the number of frame per second, the time recorded for each frame can be determined. Figure 1 shows the example of six consecutive frames using 2 frames per second. All the frame-files that have been gathered can be put together into a single stack-file.

Each image of pedestrian in each frame is represented by one point, which is the centroid of its area. Starting from the first frame, each point is numbered by a unique pedestrian-number. Simple tracking algorithm was performed to follow the movement-path coordinates of each pedestrian for every frame. The objective of the tracking algorithm is to match the points between frames by giving a pedestrian number to each point in each frame. Two points in two consecutive frames are matched if and only if the two points represent one pedestrian. Each pedestrian is traced frame by frame from the time he/she shows up in the pedestrian trap until he/she goes out of the trap. Pedestrian movement database, called NTTY database, is produced from these data collection procedures.

Table 1 shows the example of a NTTY database. The database consists of four fields, which are pedestrian number, time and coordinate location. Pedestrian number is a unique number for each

\* Keywords: NTTY Database, Video Tracking, Performance index, Microscopic Pedestrian Simulation Model

\*\* Student Member of JSCE, M. Eng., Graduate School of Information Sciences, Tohoku University Japan

\*\*\* Member of JSCE, Dr. Eng., Graduate School of Information Science, Tohoku University Japan

\*\*\*\* Member of JSCE, Dr. Eng., Graduate School of Information Science, Tohoku University Japan  
(Aoba, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-77; Tel: 022-217-7497; {kardi, takeyama, inamura}@civil.plan.tohoku.ac.jp)

pedestrian and new pedestrian number is given to a new person who enters the pedestrian trap. This number is useful to distinguish the data of a pedestrian from the another. Only pedestrians in the pedestrian trap are recorded. The coordinate location of each pedestrian is the real world coordinate of the pedestrian's image. Each row in the database represents a single observation point. One observation point is the position of a single pedestrian in one frame. The reference point of the coordinate system is arbitrary. For convention, in a common one or two ways traffic flow, the pedestrians are moving in the Y direction. Time recorded, T, represents the frame number. Because every frame is tapped with a constant time interval, T can be called clock time and treated as discreet.




Figure 1. Example of Six Consecutive Frames

Similar NTXY database can be created by any Microscopic Pedestrian Simulation Models. Both continuous and discreet based simulator can record the movement data of each pedestrian at every constant interval and write them into a text file.

The NTXY database may function as a design criterion and a unifying language. As can be seen in Figure 2, pedestrian flow performance can be calculated based on NTXY database. Whether the data comes from the real world or from simulation models, the flow performance can be calculated with the same definition of flow performance. If the design of pedestrian facilities is changed (in either real world or simulation), then a new NTXY database can be produced. By comparing the flow performance before and after the design change, a better design can be revealed. In this case, the flow performance functions as a design criterion for the facilities. It gives feedback for better design.

Table 1. Part of NTXY Database

Pedestrian number N	Time T	X	Y
3	13.5	475	411
3	14.0	475	429
3	14.5	470	448
3	15.0	469	473
4	2.0	586	145
4	2.5	592	233
4	3.0	598	311
4	3.5	598	379
4	4.0	585	442
5	2.5	258	236
5	3.0	264	266
5	3.5	253	290
5	4.0	250	315
5	4.5	253	337
5	5.0	242	362
5	5.5	230	382
5	6.0	226	408
5	6.5	217	426
5	7.0	201	439
5	7.5	192	458
5	8.0	183	475
6	1.0	311	269
6	1.5	309	299




Figure 2. Function of NTXY Database

The NTTY database can be used as a standard file of results from any MPSM and microscopic data collection of pedestrians by video. All MPSM can exchange data with another through the NTTY database. One simulator can use the pedestrian movement data produced by another type of simulator. It is a unifying language for all MPSM. Once the NTTY database is created, the movement of pedestrians can be viewed as if in the simulation using the viewer-program. Data collected from the real world also can be viewed as a simulation using the simple viewer-program.

### 3. Flow Performance Determination

In this section flow performance formulation based on NTTY database is determined. The flow performance consisting of delay and uncomfotability can be aggregated into a single value of Performance Index (PI). From the movement of an individual pedestrian at time  $t$ , individual uncomfotability index and delay is obtained and later aggregated for a certain time interval to acquire the Performance Index. Let us assume that pedestrian number  $\alpha$  starts with number 1 and increases in order ( $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ). In the NTTY database, the observation time is constant. The coordinate location of pedestrian  $\alpha$  at time  $t$  is  $\bar{x}_{\alpha t}$  and  $\rho_{\alpha}$  is the total number of observations of pedestrian  $\alpha$  and  $t_{i\alpha}$  and  $t_{o\alpha}$  are the time when the pedestrian  $\alpha$  is first recorded and last recorded, respectively. Assuming that the most comfortable walking displacement is uniform and in a straight line, the variance of walking displacement,  $\bar{v}_{\alpha}$ , is the measurement of the square deviation of walking displacement toward it ideal displacement. In other words, it measures uncomfotable walking displacement, so it called individual uncomfotability index. Since the time interval between walking displacement is constant, the uncomfotability index also represents the speed and direction change. Individual delay is the difference between the real travel time of pedestrian in the pedestrian trap and the travel time of straight-line distance by the average speed, divided by the walking distance. The unit can be second per meter. Table 2 shows the formulation of individual flow performance.

Performance of the pedestrian facilities can be determined by measuring pedestrian delay and uncomfotability. Individual performance index is a set of a linear combination of delay and uncomfotability index.

$$PI_{\alpha} = a.\gamma_{\alpha} + b.\lambda_{\alpha} \quad (1)$$

Where  $a$  and  $b$  are weight factor between uncomfotability and delay.

Aggregation of the individual flow performance for all individuals is performed within a certain time interval, from  $\tau_1$  until  $\tau_2$ . If the total number of pedestrians observed during time interval  $T_1$  until  $T_2$

$$is \quad n = \sum_{t=\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \alpha_t \quad (2)$$

Then the Pedestrian Performance Index is the average of all individual Performance Index.

$$PI = \frac{\sum PI_{\alpha}}{n} \quad (3)$$

The lower the value of the Pedestrian Performance Index, the better design the pedestrian facility has.

### 4. Traffic Flow Variables

Apart from flow performance, the macroscopic flow variables such as flow, time mean speed, space mean speed, area module and direction can also be calculated based on the NTTY database as formulated in table 3.

### 5. Conclusion

A new insight for performance measurement as an aggregation of pedestrian interaction is proposed. Pedestrian Performance Index that measures the delay and uncomfotability can be used for evaluation of pedestrian facilities using before and after studies, or microscopic pedestrian simulation model. The determination of flow performance is based on the NTTY database, which is the bridge between microscopic pedestrian simulation model, video data collection and pedestrian flow performance. Both simulator and the result of image processing create the NTTY database and it is useful as their unifying language.

**Table 2. Individual Pedestrian Flow Performance**

Flow Performance	Formula
Walking distance at time t	$d_{\alpha_i} = \ \bar{X}_{\alpha_{i,t}} - \bar{X}_{\alpha_i}\ $
Walking displacement at time t	$\bar{d}_{\alpha_i} = \bar{X}_{\alpha_{i,t+\Theta}} - \bar{X}_{\alpha_i}$
Total walking distance	$\omega_{\alpha} = \sum_{i=i_{\alpha}}^{i_{\alpha}-1} d_{\alpha_i}$
Average speed	$\Psi_{\alpha} = \frac{\omega_{\alpha}}{t_{\alpha} - t_{i_{\alpha}}}$
Straight-line distance	$\Omega_{\alpha} = \ \bar{X}_{\alpha_{i_{\alpha}}} - \bar{X}_{\alpha_{i_1}}\ $
Straight-line displacement	$\bar{\Omega}_{\alpha} = \bar{X}_{\alpha_{i_{\alpha}}} - \bar{X}_{\alpha_{i_1}} = \sum_{i=i_{\alpha}}^{i_{\alpha}-1} \bar{d}_{\alpha_i}$
Average walking displacement	$\bar{\xi}_{\alpha} = \frac{\sum_{i=i_{\alpha}}^{i_{\alpha}-1} \bar{d}_{\alpha_i}}{\rho_{\alpha} - 1} = \frac{\bar{\Omega}_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha} - 1}$
Variance of walking displacement	$\bar{Z}_{\alpha} = \frac{\sum_{i=i_{\alpha}}^{i_{\alpha}-1} (\bar{d}_{\alpha_i} - \bar{\xi}_{\alpha})^2}{\rho_{\alpha} - 1}$
Uncomfortability index	$\gamma_{\alpha} = \frac{\ \bar{Z}_{\alpha}\ }{\omega_{\alpha}}$
Delay	$\lambda_{\alpha} = \frac{\omega_{\alpha} - \Omega_{\alpha}}{\omega_{\alpha} \cdot \Psi_{\alpha}}$

**Table 3. Traffic Flow Variables**

Traffic Flow Variable	Formula
Flow rate	$q = \frac{n}{T_2 - T_1}$
Time mean speed	$TMS = \frac{\sum_{\alpha} \Psi_{\alpha}}{n}$
Space mean speed	$SMS = \frac{L}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha} L \Psi_{\alpha}} = \frac{n}{\sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\Psi_{\alpha}}}$
Area module	$M = \frac{A}{n}$
Moving direction	$\bar{m}_{\alpha} = \frac{\bar{X}_{\alpha_{i_{\alpha}}} - \bar{X}_{\alpha_{i_1}}}{\ \bar{X}_{\alpha_{i_{\alpha}}} - \bar{X}_{\alpha_{i_1}}\ }$

**References**

- 1) Blue, V.J. and Adler, J.L.: cellular automata microsimulation of bidirectional pedestrian flows. Transportation Research Board 1678, pp. 135-141, 2000.
- 2) Fruin, J.J.: designing for pedestrians: A level of service concept. Highway Research Record 355, pp. 1-15, 1971.
- 3) Helbing, D and Molnar, P.: Self-organization phenomena in pedestrian crowds, in Self-Organization of Complex Structures: From Individual to Collective Dynamics, Schweitzer, F. (Ed.), pp. 569-577, Gordon and Breach, London, 1997.
- 4) Helbing, D. and Molnár P.: Correlated motion of pedestrians, in New Directions in Theoretical Biology, Vicsek, T. (Ed.), Eötvös University, Budapest, 1998.
- 5) Lovas, G. G: modeling and simulation of pedestrian traffic flow, Transportation Research 28B, pp. 429-443, 1994.
- 6) Okazaki, S. and Matsushita, S.: A study of simulation model for pedestrian movement with evacuation and queuing, Proceeding of the International Conference on Engineering for Crowd Safety, pp. 271-280, 1993.
- 7) Teknomo, K., Takeyama, Y. and Inamura, H.: Tracking algorithm for microscopic flow data collection, (to be published in) Proceeding of JSCE Conference, Sendai Sept 2000.
- 8) Transportation Research Board: Highway Capacity Manual, Special Report 204 TRB, Washington D.C, 1985.