# **Causes of Delay in Road Construction Projects in Laos**

Nagasaki University Student Member OSOUMPHONPHAKDY Bounthipphasert

Nagasaki University Member NAKAMURA Shozo

Nagasaki University Member OKUMATSU Toshihiro Nagasaki University Member NISHIKAWA Takafumi

1. Introduction

The total length of road network in Laos is over 60,340 kilometers, which is divided into 552.02 km of Reinforced Concrete(RC), 1,203.12 km of Asphalt Concrete(AC), 9,972.96 km of Double Bituminous Surface Treatment(DBST), 23,179.14 km of gravel and 25,432.74 km of non-paved roads[1]. The annual budget for road maintenance, rehabilitation and upgrades to ensure the standards and higher road safety is still lacking.

In many countries road construction projects are often behind the schedule planed. The situation is the same in Laos, which is an important issue to management of road construction projects. It's needed to study the causes of the delays and try to look for the solution to improve them. Delay in the road construction projects has negative impacts on many areas such as overrun of budget, economy, environment and so on, particularly directly and indirectly affecting those who use or/and live near the road constructing. There are many causes which delay road construction projects in each country. Thus, it is important to find out what are the main common causes of delay in road construction projects in Laos. The main objective of this study includes the following: To identify the causes of delay in road construction projects in Laos; To identify the severity of the delay causes by owners, consultants: and contractors; To test the agreement on the ranking of the severity of the causes of delay among owners, consultants and contractors.

# 2. Literature Review

Mahamid et al.[2] found that there are 52 delay causes in road construction projects. Among them 18 causes of delay had the severity index scale over 60% which is very high impact level.

#### 3. Research Methodology

# 3.1 Review Cause of Delay

In this paper, a questionnaire was developed to evaluate the severity of the identified causes. The questionnaire was developed based on the literature review and through consultations with the engineers, who have more than 10 years of experience in road construction projects in Laos. There are 52 causes of delay in road construction, then they are modified and summarized thus in

total there are 53 questions in the questionnaire.

### 3.2 Questionnaires Design

The structure of the questionnaire was designed to have two main parts. Part I is related to respondent personal information including occupation, i.e. contractors, owners and consultants. They were further requested to answer questions pertaining to their experience in the construction industry. Part II includes the list of the identified causes of delay in road construction projects in Laos. These causes are classified into 8 main groups as shown in Table.2 according to the source of delay: project, owner, contractor, consultant, design, laborers, material and equipment, and external. For each case a question was asked: What is the degree of severity of this cause of road construction project delay? The severity was categorized into six- levels as follows: level 0 = no influence, level 1 = very low, level 2 = low, level 3 = moderate, level 4 = high, and level 5 = very high on a 0 to 5 level as shown in Table.1.

### 4. Data Analysis

### 4.1 Respondent Personal Information

The questionnaire was sent to a total of 50 contractors, 40 owners and 30 consultants, asking their contribution in ranking the identified 53 causes in terms of severity using an ordinal scale. A total of 35 contractors, 31 owners and 24 consultants filled the questionnaire.

All of respondent are engineers in' contractors, owners and consultants. Among them, 30 people had the experience between 5 to 10 years, 18 people had 10 to 15 years' experience and 42 people had over 15 years' experience. The average was approximately 16 years of experience.

Table. 1 Severity Index and Corresponding Impact Level

Impact level
No influence
Very low
Low
Moderate
High
Very high

#### 4.2 Ranking of Delay Causes

The suggested delay causes in road construction projects are ranked by the measurement of the severity index. The following formula is used to rank them on the basis of impact level as identified by the participants:

Severity index (%) =  $\sum a(n/N) *100 / 5$  (1)

where a = constant expressing weighting given to each response, which ranges from 0 for no influence up to 5 for very high; n = frequency of the responses; and N = total number of responses.

Table.2 List of Delay Causes and Related groups						
Main group Causes under each group						
1. Project group	1	The project's bid price is low				
	2	Public are affected				
	3	Construction area restricted				
	4	Inconvenient site access				
	5	Poor ground condition				
	6	Poor soil quality				
	7	Poor terrain condition				
<ol><li>Owner group</li></ol>	8	Owner payment is postponing				
	9	1 1 5				
		Coordinating issue between Owner and Contractor.				
		Unreasonable project time frame				
		Financial status of owner				
	13	Postponement of project by owner.				
	14	Information of Materials for approve have				
		postpones.				
		Provide scope of work is not interesting.				
	16	Land expropriation from owner postpone				
	17	An order from the project owner was issued for				
	10	changes during construction.				
2		Late issuing of approval documents by owner.				
3. Contractor group	19	Difficulties in financing project by contractor				
	20	Poor communication by contractor with other				
	21	construction parties.  Conflict between contractor and other parties				
		Poor resource management				
		Reconstruction cause is not up to standards				
		Planning ineffective management by construction				
		Poor qualification of the contractors' technical staff				
		Equipment and vehicles insufficient for construction				
		Contractor control lacking of quality				
		Improper construction Method				
4. Consultant group		Leniency of consultant				
	30	Poor coordination between the consultant and				
	30	contractors				
	31	Postpone in performing inspection by consultant				
	32	Low qualified inspector				
	33	Insufficient inspectors				
<ol><li>Design group</li></ol>		Design works postpone				
		Mistakes in design				
		Inappropriate design				
6. Laborers group		Low labor productivity				
		Low level of equipment-operator's skill				
	39	Insufficient laborers				
	40	Personal conflict between laborers and management team				
	41					
7. Materials and	41	Personal conflicts among laborers				
,	42	Lack of equipment efficiency				
equipment group	13	Shortage of equipment				
	44	construction				
	45	Shortage in construction material				
		Segmentation of Laos government is limited				
<ol><li>External group</li></ol>	46	movement between areas				
	47	Political situation				
	48	Exchange rate fluctuation by contract				
		Changing of bankers' policy for loans				
		Weather condition				
	51	Monopoly				
	52	The rate of oil price increase				
	53	Contractor revolving money				
- B 1/ 1	ъ.	•				

#### 5. Results and Discussion

In Table.3 top five of delay causes and related groups from contractors' view are shown. Their severity indices are very high, more than 80%. In Table.4 showing top five delay causes and related groups from owners and consultants' view, most of the severity indices are high but only one

cause of delay is very high. In Table.5, top five of overall delay causes ranking are shown. The severity indices of top two causes are very high and other are high.

On the other hands, Table.3 shows the top five of delay causes from contractor's view and Table.4 shows the top five delay causes from owners and consultants' view. there were different causes on rank 5 as followed: changing of banker' policy for loans and equipment and vehicles in sufficient for construction.

Table 3. Top Five Delay Causes and Related Groups from Contractors' View

Cause	Related group	Severity index(%)	Rank
Owner payment is postponing	Owner	91.43	1
Contractor revolving money	External	88.00	2
Financial status of owner	Owner	85.71	3
Difficulties in financing project by contractor	Contractor	82.29	4
Changing of bankers' policy for loans	External	80.57	5

Table 4. Top Five Delay Causes and Related Groups from Owners' and Consultants' View

Cause	Related group	Severity index(%)	Rank
Contractor revolving money	External	81.82	1
Owner payment is postponing	Owner	75.27	2
Difficulties in financing project by contractor	Contractor	73.82	3
Financial status of owner	Owner	68.36	4
Equipment and vehicles insufficient for construction	Contractor	66.55	5

Table 5. Top Five Delay Causes and Related Groups from Combined View

Cause	Related group	Severity index(%)	Rank
Contractor revolving money	External	84.22	1
Owner payment is postponing	Owner	81.56	2
Difficulties in financing project by contractor	Contractor	77.11	3
Financial status of owner	Owner	75.11	4
Equipment and vehicles insufficient for construction	Contractor	70.89	5

# 6. Conclusion

The severity indices of the causes of delay are evaluated from the contractors' view, owners and consultants' view through questionnaire survey form. 53 causes of delay are discussed in this study. And the top five of the severity indices causes of delay as seen from overall delay causes ranking are the following: 1. Contractor revolving money 2. Owner payment is postponing 3. Difficulties in financing project by contractor 4. Financial status of owner 5. Equipment and vehicles insufficient for construction. From the results in this study, one of necessity in future works is that it is needed to make a trial using the severity indices developed by this study to identify causes of delay to gain a baseline to modify rules, agreements, management, administration and planning, and check the result if the percentage delay of road construction projects are reduced or not.

#### References

- [1] Source by statistic from Department of Roads 2019, Ministry of Public Works and Transport of Laos.
- [2] Causes of Delay in Road construction Projects: Journal of management in engineering / July 2012 / pp.300-310.