## VI-11

## A new methodology for evaluating a new construction technology from the viewpoint of constructability

東京大学	学正員	申鉉穰
東京大学	正員	渡邊法美
東京大学	正員	國島正彦

### 1. Introduction

Many construction technologies have been developed in Japan to overcome the chronic labor shortage problem, improve the competitiveness of the Japan construction industry, and make public investment efficiently. In order to meet these social demands a new construction technology is desirable, however, it has been considered so far that it is difficult to evaluate a technology itself on public acceptance basis.

The purpose of this paper is to present a methodology to evaluate a new construction technology from the viewpoint of constructability with a shorter time, less manpower, and more objectivity.

In this paper, a technology is defined as the means and knowledge used to accomplish a desired aim necessary for human sustenance and comfort. Accordingly, construction technologies also have special aims which are to obtain better constructability during construction and to obtain better serviceability during service. Constructability in this paper is defined as its capability to optimize resources such as manpower, time, quality, environmental condition of labor and neighborhood during construction.

## 2. Decomposition of the constructability

Since the constructability includes many aspects, it is decomposed into a hierarchy with three levels: components, elements, and attributes. The hierarchy is shown in Table 1.

# 3. A methodology for evaluating a new technology

The main problems here are how the individual attributes at the lowest level of the hierarchy are rated and how strongly these individual attribute influence the constructability at the highest level of the hierarchy. Since this influence will not be uniform over all components, elements, and attributes, it is necessary to express the level of them by determining weighting factors. This section shows a rating technique

of each attribute and a general equation for the total evaluation points associated with a new technology.

Table 1: A hierarchy of the constructability

No	COMP.	ELEMENT	ATTRIBUTE
1	Save	1. Decrease	1. Number of workers
	man-	workers	1. Italiber of Workers
	power	110111111111111111111111111111111111111	
	poner	2. Lower level	1. Req. level of skill
		of skill	1. Iceq. level of sam
	ŀ	3. Improve	1. Future promise of
		working moti-	occupation
		vation & condi-	2. Wages
		tions	3. Work value
			4. Working speed
			5. Heaviness
			6. Learning time
			7. Frequency of main-
			tenance work
			8. Maintainability
	ĺ		9. Working height
2	Save	1. Decrease	1. Learning time
	time	task's time	2. Adverse weather
			3. Accessibility
		2. Decrease	1. Reliability
		failure time	2. Frequency of main-
			tenance work
			3. Sudden breakdown
		3. Omit work	1. Standardizability
		tasks	2. Modularizability
			3. Simplicity
		4. Decrease	1.Operation falseness
		risk	2. Slow-down
			3. Material shortage
			4. Natural calamity
3	Save	1. Save mate-	1. Req. material
	re-	rial	
	sources		
		2. Save equip-	1. Required equipment
		ment	1 1 11
		3. Equip more functions	1. Applicability
		4. Save land	1. Required land
		5. Lower tech-	<ol> <li>Required technical</li> </ol>
		nical cost	cost
4	Obtain	1. Reduce dan-	1. Heaviness
	better	ger	2. Communication
	work-		3. Noise
	ing en-		4. Working area
	viron-		<ol><li>Working height</li></ol>
	ments		6. Arresting device
			7. Slip

No	COMP.	ELEMENT	ATTRIBUTE
		2. Alleviate	1. Heaviness
1	1	hardship	2. Boredom
ĺ			3. Noise
			4. Working area
			5. Working speed
	(		6. Frequency of
			maintenance work
			7. Maintainability
		3. Reduce dirt	1. Closed or opened
			2. Waste gas
			3. Oil based or electric
ĺ			4. Directly touch or
			not
5	Obtain	1. Get better	1. Simplicity
	better	durability	2. Standardization
	qual-		3. Modularizability
	ity		4. Accessibility
			<ol><li>Adverse weather</li></ol>
	1		6. Reliability
			7.Learning time
6	Do	1. Do not	1. Noise
	not	damage neigh-	2. Vibrations
	dam-	boring environ-	3. Waste gas
	age	ment	4. Number of workers
	neigh-		required
	bor-		
l i	ing en-		
1	viron-		
	ment		
		2. Not to occur	1. Arresting device
		hazardous hap-	2. Heaviness
		pening	

Construction technologies are extremely complex and various. Therefore, the concept of the standard technology is introduced. This aims to clarify the nature and evaluate the worth of a new construction technology, by comparing it with the standard technology.

Then, a procedure of rating is described as

1. Select the standard technology.

2. Give 3 as rating values to all attributes of the standard technology.

3. Compare the new construction technology with the standard technology and rate the new construction technology on all attributes.

The rating scale is shown as follows.

much better

better 4.

3: same

2: worse

much worse

The next concern is how to obtain the total evaluation points of both technologies. The equations give total points of both technologies.

$$R_0 = 3$$

$$R = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} W_i W_{ij} W_{ijk} r_{ijk}$$

#### Where:

• R<sub>0</sub>: total evaluation points of the standard technology;  $R_0=3$ 

• R: total evaluation point of the new technology

• r: rating point of a attribute

• i: index for components

• j: index for elements

• k: index for attributes

ullet  $W_{ijk}$ : weighting factor for the  $k^{th}$  attribute of the  $j^{th}$  element of the  $i^{th}$  component
•  $W_{ij}$ : weighting factor for the  $j^{th}$  element of the

ith component

• W.: weighting factor for the ith component

• n: the number of components

• n;: the number of elements in the i<sup>th</sup> component

•  $n_{ij}$ : the number of attributes in the  $j^{th}$  element of ith component

The effectiveness of the new technology can be judged based on the value difference between R and  $R_0$ . It should be noted that  $R_0$  is always 3 irrespective of the weighting factors.

### 4. Conclusions

A methodology for a evaluating a new construction technology from the viewpoint of its constructability has been proposed. The applicability of the methodology has been examined in the case study concerned with concrete technology. It will be necessary to express the attributes and/or the constructability index in monetary terms to incorporate the methodology into Cost and Benefit analysis.

### Acknowledgement

This research has been partly supported by Grant-in-Aid for scientific research (Research B -03555106) from the Ministry of Education, Japan.

### References

(1) Proposed recommendation on durability design for concrete structures CONCRETE LI-BRARY OF JSCE NO.14, JSCE CONCRETE

(2) C.B. Tatum, (1989), Classification System for Construction Technology, Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, ASCE

,114(3), 344 - 363

(3) The Construction Management Committee of the ASCE Construction Division, (1991), Constructability and Constructability Programs: WHITE PAPER, Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, ASCE ,117(1)