EXPERIMENTAL AND NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF OPEN CHANNEL FLOWS WITH SUBMERGED AND EMERGENT VEGETATIONS

Jahra FATIMA 1, Hiroyuki YAMAMOTO 2, Fumiaki HASEGAWA 3 and Yoshihisa KAWAHARA 4

¹Member of JSCE, PhD Student, Dept. of Civil and Envrn. Eng., Graduate School of Eng., Hiroshima University (1-1-4 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima, Hiroshima 739-8527, Japan)

²Member of JSCE, Master Student, Dept. of Civil and Envrn. Eng., Graduate School of Eng., Hiroshima University (1-1-4 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima, Hiroshima 739-8527, Japan)

³Undergraduate Student, Dept. of Civil and Envrn. Eng., Graduate School of Eng., Hiroshima University (1-1-4 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima, Hiroshima 739-8527, Japan)

⁴Member of JSCE, Dr. of Eng., Professor, Dept. of Civil and Envrn. Eng., Graduate School of Eng., Hiroshima University (1-1-4 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima, Hiroshima 739-8527, Japan)

This study aims at revealing the flow structure on turbulent flows in a partially vegetated open channel where the width of vegetation zone changes as a sine-generated curve. Three-dimensional numerical simulation was carried out with the standard k- ε model to explore the distribution of mean velocity and turbulence structure in the main channel and the vegetation zone under both emergent and submerged vegetations. The numerical results were compared against experimental observations in terms of mean velocities and Reynolds stresses, showing a fairly good agreement between simulated results and experimental results. The effect of change of vegetation density on flow structure was observed.

Key Words: Vegetated open channel, 3D k-ε model, mean velocity, Reynolds stresses, flow structure,

emergent and submerged vegetations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Rivers and streams are usually in close association with vegetation. Natural rivers are mostly meandering and vegetation can occupy nearly every geomorphic position within the fluvial environment. Vegetations in and near streams are subjected to varying flow stages that can inundate vegetation during high flow events or leave it exposed during low flow events. Riparian vegetation can play a critical role in the physical, ecological, and hydraulic functions of streams and rivers. Vegetation can affect the transport of water, sediment, and nutrients both within the channel and to or between the riparian zones. The characterization of not only the mean flow, but also turbulence structure and the associated transport processes in presence of vegetation, has received a lot of attention in the last decade¹⁾. Thus, the characteristic of turbulent flow over a vegetation

laver is one of the most fundamental topics of hydraulics of flow with vegetation²⁾. Mean flow and turbulence characteristics of open channel flow with vegetation are studied through laboratory experiments and numerical computations. The k- ε model is the most commonly used two equation model in engineering and has proved to be a reliable tool in a wide variety of problems in hydraulic and environmental engineering³⁾. But none of the existing turbulence models are truly universal and thus, each model needs to be tuned to specific flows⁴⁾. Using the k- ε model, Shimizu and Tsujimoto²⁾ simulated the vertical distributions of the development of mean and turbulent flow structures. Lopez and Garcia⁵⁾ also computed flow structures of vegetated open channel and compared the calculated results with their experimental ones. Choi and Kang⁴⁾ explained the performance of Reynolds stress model, algebraic stress model and the k- ε model for vegetated open channel flow



Fig.1 Measurement sections of meandering channel (plan view).

simulation.

The present study shows an application of the calculate standard k-ε model to the structure of partially three-dimensional flow vegetated open-channel flow. The governing equation is spatially averaged and the effect of individual roughness elements has been taken into account by an averaged local drag force. The computed result has been compared with the laboratory measurement by the authors⁶⁾ for two different vegetation densities and the values of model coefficients have been discussed.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The experiments were conducted in a straight rectangular flume whose length and width are 22.0m and 1.82m, respectively. The length of vegetation zone is 11.0m. The vegetation is idealized with wooden rigid cylinders of 3mm diameter and 5cm height. Three mean velocity components were measured by two-component electromagnetic current meters (both L-type and I-type). Vegetation zones were prepared on either side of the channel in meandering shape. The meandering wavelength is 2.2m with the sinuosity of 1.10. There are five complete waves along the 11.0m long vegetated zones. The measurements were carried out at the downstream section (about 8.35m from the tailgate) over half wave length at the points SI=12.55m, *S1′*=12.85m, *S2*=13.1m, *S2′*=13.35m and S3=13.65m. The equations of the interface curves $Z = 0.21 \sin(2\pi x/l) + 0.434$ are and $Z = 0.21 \sin(2\pi x/l) + 1.385$. Fig.1 shows the partially vegetated meandering channel depicting the measurement sections. Fig.2 shows the layout of the flume. The channel geometry and the flow conditions are summarized in Table 1. Flume measurement was carried out with two different vegetation densities, $\lambda=0.13$ /cm and 0.033/cm respectively.



Fig.2 General layout of the meandering channel.

Table 1 Experimental conditions for meandering channel.

Case	Bed Slope	Discharge	Flow Depth	Vegetation density	Vegetation
		[1/s]	[cm]	[1/cm]	Condition
A1	1/633	55.0	9.3	0.13	Submargad
A2			8.3	0.033	Submerged
A1	1/633	18.5	4.3	0.13	Emerged
A2			4.2	0.033	

3. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

The numerical calculations were conducted using the standard k- ε model. The computer code calculates hydrodynamics for three dimensional geometry. Here averaged equations in both time and space dimensions have been used. The vegetations are uniformly distributed on either side of the channel in meander form with the adjacent vegetation stem distance l_x and l_y in x and y directions, respectively. The basic equations for the standard k- ε model are as follows:

Continuity equation:

$$\frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_i} = 0 \tag{1a}$$

Momentum equation:

$$\frac{\partial U_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial U_i U_j}{\partial x_j} = g_i - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_i} + v \frac{\partial^2 U_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_j} - F_i - \frac{\partial \overline{u_i u_j}}{\partial x_i}$$
(1b)

Reynolds stress component:

$$-\overline{u_i u_j} = v_t \left(\frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x_i} \right) - \frac{2}{3} k \delta_{ij} \qquad (1c)$$

Eddy viscosity:

$$v_t = C_\mu \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon} \tag{1d}$$

The distribution of *k* and ε can be expressed by the following transport equations:

$$\frac{\partial k}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial U_{j}k}{\partial x_{j}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m}} \left[\left(\frac{v_{t}}{\sigma_{k}} + v \right) \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_{m}} \right] \\ + C_{fk} F_{i} U_{i} + P_{rod} - \varepsilon \qquad (1e)$$
$$\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial U_{j}\varepsilon}{\partial x_{j}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m}} \left[\left(\frac{v_{t}}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} + v \right) \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x_{m}} \right] \\ + \frac{\varepsilon}{k} \left(C_{\varepsilon 1} P_{rod} - C_{\varepsilon 2} \varepsilon + C_{\varepsilon 1} C_{f\varepsilon} F_{i} U_{i} \right) \qquad (1f)$$

Production of turbulent kinetic energy:

$$P_{rod} = -\overline{u_i u_j} \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_j} = v_l \left(\frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x_i} \right) - \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x_j} \quad (1g)$$

Drag force due to vegetation per unit volume of water:

$$F_i = \frac{1}{2} C_D \lambda U_i \sqrt{U_j U_j} \tag{1h}$$

where C_D is drag coefficient, λ is vegetation density defined by

$$\lambda = \frac{D}{l_x l_y} \tag{1i}$$

The model constants are³: $C_{\mu}=0.09$, $\sigma_{k}=1.0$, $\sigma_{\varepsilon}=1.3$, $C_{\varepsilon I}=1.44$ and $C_{\varepsilon 2}=1.92$. The model coefficients $C_{fk}=1.0$, $C_{f\varepsilon}=1.33$ suggested by Lopez and Garcia⁴) have been adopted considering the result of model calibration.

The basic equations are discredited by Finite volume method. The convective term has been discretized by Power-law scheme and the SIMPLE algorithm has been used. At the bottom and near the side walls "wall function" technique has been implemented. The wall boundary conditions for U, k and ε are applied at a point $Z=Z_p$ adjacent to the wall and in the log-law region. The region between point Z_p and the actual wall is related by the following relationship³:

$$\frac{U_p}{U_*} = \frac{1}{k} \ln \left(E_r \frac{Z_p U_*}{v} \right), \quad k_p = \frac{U_*^2}{\sqrt{C_\mu}}, \quad \varepsilon_p = \frac{U_*^3}{\kappa Z_p} \quad (1j)$$

where E_r is the wall roughness parameter, $E_r=9.0$ for smooth wall and $E_r = 30/U_*k_s$ for hydraulic rough wall. In this study, bed roughness has been considered, where, the equivalent roughness height $k_s=1$ mm.

At the inlet all variables are specified to have constant values whereas at the downstream end the longitudinal gradients of all variables are assumed to be zero. Free surface is treated as a symmetry plane.

4. EXPERIMENTAL AND NUMERICAL RESULTS

The present k- ε model can reproduce all the cases of both the series R and A, simulated by Shimizu and Tsujimoto²⁾, adopting the value of $C_{f\bar{\kappa}}=1.0$ and $C_{f\bar{\kappa}}=1.33$. The value of C_D has been determined by the trial and error method. For $\lambda=0.033$ /cm and 0.13/cm, $C_D = 0.65 \sim 1.0$ has been considered for submerged and emergent vegetations.

Flume experiment was carried out for both the submerged and emerged cases with two different vegetation densities to get a clear vision of the flow structure and these experimental results are compared with the simulated result.

(1) Mean flow and turbulent stresses for submerged vegetation

Flow in meandering cannel has complex behaviors. Fig.3(a) and Fig.3(b) show the U velocity contours at the section SI for both the experimental and calculated result of two different densities. It gives the qualitative view of the cross sectional flow structure. The maximum velocity region of primary velocity U is shifted toward the inner curve side, which can be explained by the pressure-driven V-velocity over water depth.

Fig.4 describes the U-velocity and U, V-velocity vector distribution at Z=3.2cm. It has been observed that inner apex has higher velocity than the outer one and the velocity vector directs toward the main channel from the inner curve. Similar phenomenon was also observed by flow visualization.



Fig.3(a) *U*-velocity (cm/sec) contour of submerged vegetation for Case A1, at section *S1*, Upper: Experimental result, Lower: Calculated result.



Fig.4 Distribution of U, V-velocity of submerged vegetation for CaseA1, Left: Experimental result, Right: Calculated result.



Fig.5 Experimental and calculated vertical distribution of U-mean velocity for submerged vegetation.

Fig.5 shows the vertical U-velocity distribution different vegetation densities. for two The comparison has been made along the three measuring sections and at two different measuring points, located in the meandering vegetated region. There is a good agreement between the calculated result and the flume measurement. With the change of vegetation density the qualitative as well as quantitative change in U-velocity profile can be observed. Spanwise distribution of simulated velocity profile also shows a good agreement with the experimental result.

The performance of the present numerical model

was tested concerning Reynolds stresses. Fig.6 and Fig.7 explain the vertical and spanwise distribution of Reynolds stresses, respectively. Spanwise distribution of Reynolds stress shows the profile of 5cm above the bed. It has been observed that the maximum positive and negative values of Reynolds stresses occur at the same position of the flume, i.e. at the interface of main channel and vegetated zone, which indicates the two inner curves of the left and right vegetation zone (considering half wave length). It indicates that the shear becomes dominant and the strongest along the inner curves in the main channel. It can be said that with the increase of



Fig.6 Experimental and calculated vertical distribution of Reynolds stress profile for submerged vegetation.



Fig.7 Experimental and calculated spanwise distribution of Reynolds stress profile for submerged vegetation.



Fig.8(b) *U*-velocity (cm/sec) contour of emergent vegetation for Case A2, at section S3. Left: Experimental result, Right: Calculated result.

vegetation density the Reynolds stresses increase.

According to Choi and Kang⁴⁾, for open-channel flows with submerged vegetation, RSM can predict the mean velocity and turbulence quantities better than algebraic stress model or the k- ε model. This limitation has been overcome in the present study.

(2) Mean flow and turbulent stresses for emergent vegetation

The flow structure has also been observed for emergent vegetations with different densities. Like submerged vegetation, emerged case also shows a reasonable agreement with the model calculation.

Fig.8 gives a picture of the impact of change in vegetation density and also makes a qualitative

comparison between laboratory experiment and numerical simulation. It has been observed that the model could nicely represent the presence of vegetation, though mean velocity was slightly underestimated within the vegetation zone and over estimated in the main channel, especially near bed. This discrepancy can be explained by the concept of Choi-Kang⁴⁾ and Tsujimoto et al.⁷⁾, claiming that the model coefficients, C_{fk} and C_{fc} have to be tuned to specific flows. The model coefficients in the present study have been calibrated with the submerged vegetation. Hence in case of emergent vegetation, another set of model coefficients should be introduced to obtain better results.

Fig.9 shows the spanwise distribution of



Fig.10 Experimental and calculated spanwise distribution of U-velocity profile for emergent vegetation.

Reynolds shear stress. Here it can be observed that with the increase of vegetation density the spanwise Reynolds stress increases in terms of positive and negative along the two inner apex of the meandering wave. The calculated result has a reasonable agreement with the measurement.

Spanwise distribution of *U*-velocity can be observed in Fig.10. Both the measured and calculated points are located at 3.2 cm above the bed. The calculated result has a good agreement with the measured one. It can be observed that with the increase of vegetation density the velocity slope at the interface of main channel and the vegetation zone becomes steeper.

5. CONCLUSION

Flume experiments were carried out for turbulent open channel flows at the presence of meandering shaped vegetation under both submerged and emerged conditions. Numerical simulations were also performed with the standard k- ε model with the vegetation model in the transport equations for k and ε . Comparisons between the measured data and the numerical results have led to the following findings.

- The present k- ε model can reproduce the mean velocity field fairly well.
- The U-velocity increases in the main channel and decreases in the vegetation as the vegetation density increases, resulting in the increase in the vertical and spanwise Reynolds stresses at the vegetation interface.
- The maximum velocity region of *U*-velocity is shifted toward the inner wave due to the pressure driven secondary flows over water depth.

• The present numerical model can predict the flow structure for submerged vegetation satisfactorily, with some discrepancy in case of emergent vegetation. This infers that another set of model coefficients, C_{fk} and C_{fc} is needed for better agreement with the measurement for emergent vegetation.

REFERENCES

- Lopez, F. and Garcia, M.: Mean flow and turbulence structure of open-channel flow through non-emergent vegetation, *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, Vol.127, No.5, pp.392-402, 2001.
- Shimizu, Y. and Tsujimoto, T.: Numerical analysis of turbulent open-channel flow over a vegetation layer using a k-ε turbulence model, *Journal of Hydroscience and Hydraulic Engineering*, Vol.11, No.2, pp.57-67, 1994.
- Rodi, W.: Turbulence models and their applications in hydraulics, A state-of-art review, International Association for Hydraulic Research, Delft, The Netherlands, 1984.
- Choi, S. and Kang, H.: Reynolds stress modeling of vegetated open-channel flows, *Journal of Hydraulic Research*, Vol.42, No.1, pp.3-11, 2004.
- Lopez, F. and Garcia, M.: Open-channel flow through simulated vegetation, Turbulence modeling and sediment transport, Wetlands Res. Program Rep. WRP-CP-10, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Washington, D.C., 1997.
- 6) Jahra, F., Yamamoto, H., Tsubaki, R. and Kawahara, Y.: Experimental study of mean velocity distributions in open channels with emergent and submerged vegetation, *Journal* of *Applied Mechanics*, Vol.12, pp.663-672, 2009.
- Tsujimoto, T., Kitamura, T. and Okada, T.: Turbulent structure of flow over rigid vegetation-covered bed in open channels, *KHL Progressive Rep. 1*, Hydr. Lab., Kanazawa University, Japan, 1991.

(Received September 30, 2009)